REPORT OF THE AUDIT OF THE FORMER BELL COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2014 TAXES

For The Period April 16, 2014 Through December 31, 2014



MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

www.auditor.ky.gov

209 ST. CLAIR STREET FRANKFORT, KY 40601-1817 TELEPHONE 502.564.5841 FACSIMILE 502.564.2912

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

AUDIT OF THE FORMER BELL COUNTY SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2014 TAXES

For The Period April 16, 2014 Through December 31, 2014

The Auditor of Public Accounts has completed the audit of the Sheriff's Settlement - 2014 Taxes for the former Bell County Sheriff for the period April 16, 2014 through December 31, 2014. We have issued an unmodified opinion on the financial statement taken as a whole. Based upon the audit work performed, the financial statement is presented fairly in all material respects.

Financial Condition:

The former Sheriff collected 2014 taxes of \$6,822,022 for the districts, retaining commissions of \$242,420 to operate the former Sheriff's office. The former Sheriff distributed 2014 taxes of \$6,571,242 to the districts. Taxes of \$6,721 are due to the districts from the former Sheriff.

Report Comment:

2014-001 The Former Sheriff's Office Did Not Have Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Deposits:

The former Sheriff's deposits as of December 8, 2014 were exposed to custodial credit risk as follows:

• Uncollateralized and Uninsured \$28,798

The former Sheriff's deposits were covered by FDIC insurance and a properly executed collateral security agreement, but the bank did not adequately collateralize the former Sheriff's deposits in accordance with the security

agreement.

CONTENTS	PAGE

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	1
SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2014 TAXES	4
Notes To Financial Statement	6
REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON	
COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL	
STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS	11
COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION	15



MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Matthew G. Bevin, Governor
William M. Landrum III, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable Albey Brock, Bell County Judge/Executive
Honorable Bruce Bennett, Former Bell County Sheriff
Honorable Mitchell Williams, Bell County Sheriff
Members of the Bell County Fiscal Court

Independent Auditor's Report

Report on the Financial Statement

We have audited the former Bell County Sheriff's Settlement - 2014 Taxes for the period April 16, 2014 through December 31, 2014 - Regulatory Basis, and the related notes to the financial statement.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statement

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement in accordance with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the laws of Kentucky to demonstrate compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting as described in Note 1. Management is also responsible for the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of a financial statement that is free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statement based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, and the *Audit Guide for Sheriff's Tax Settlements* issued by the Auditor of Public Accounts, Commonwealth of Kentucky. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statement is free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statement. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statement, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statement in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statement. We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.



To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Matthew G. Bevin, Governor
William M. Landrum III, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable Albey Brock, Bell County Judge/Executive
Honorable Bruce Bennett, Former Bell County Sheriff
Honorable Mitchell Williams, Bell County Sheriff
Members of the Bell County Fiscal Court

Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

As described in Note 1 of the financial statement, the financial statement was prepared by the former County Sheriff on the basis of the accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the laws of Kentucky to demonstrate compliance with the Commonwealth of Kentucky's regulatory basis of accounting, which is a basis of accounting other than accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The effects on the financial statement of the variances between the regulatory basis of accounting described in Note 1 and accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, although not reasonably determinable, are presumed to be material.

Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

In our opinion, because of the significance of the matter discussed in the Basis for Adverse Opinion on U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles paragraph, the financial statement referred to above does not present fairly, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, the financial position of each fund of the former County Sheriff, as of December 31, 2014, or changes in financial position or cash flows thereof for the year then ended.

Opinion on Regulatory Basis of Accounting

In our opinion, the financial statement referred to above presents fairly, in all material respects, the taxes charged, credited, and paid for the period April 16, 2014 through December 31, 2014 of the former Bell County Sheriff, in accordance with the basis of accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Commonwealth of Kentucky as described in Note 1.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 21, 2015 on our consideration of the former Bell County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the former Bell County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the former Bell County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

To the People of Kentucky
Honorable Matthew G. Bevin, Governor
William M. Landrum III, Secretary
Finance and Administration Cabinet
Honorable Albey Brock, Bell County Judge/Executive
Honorable Bruce Bennett, Former Bell County Sheriff
Honorable Mitchell Williams, Bell County Sheriff
Members of the Bell County Fiscal Court

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

Based on the results of our audit, we have presented the accompanying comment and recommendation, included herein, which discusses the following report comment:

2014-001 The Former Sheriff's Office Did Not Have Adequate Segregation Of Duties

Respectfully submitted,

Mike Harmon

Auditor of Public Accounts

December 21, 2015

BELL COUNTY BRUCE BENNETT, FORMER SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2014 TAXES

For The Period April 16, 2014 Through December 31, 2014

	cia	

		Special		
<u>Charges</u>	County Taxes	Taxing Districts	School Taxes	State Taxes
Real Estate	\$ 726,018	1,124,364	\$ 3,459,700	\$ 783,842
Tangible Personal Property	213,759	226,276	779,317	529,630
Fire Protection	3,151			
Increases Through Exonerations	41	63	203	51
Franchise Taxes	266,926	309,175	1,070,465	
Adjusted to Sheriff's Receipt	8	10	(53)	
Gross Chargeable to Sheriff	1,209,903	1,659,888	5,309,632	1,313,523
Credits				
Exonerations	4,670	7,227	22,620	5,128
Discounts	12,461	17,881	55,844	16,769
Transfer To Incoming Sheriff	210,083	295,861	939,550	328,947
Franchise Taxes	122,240	141,254	490,389	220,2 1.
Total Credits	349,454	462,223	1,508,403	350,844
Taxes Collected	860,449	1,197,665	3,801,229	962,679
Less: Commissions *	36,569	50,900	114,037	40,914
Taxes Due	823,880	1,146,765	3,687,192	921,765
Taxes Paid	823,658	1,144,419	3,681,627	921,738
	200	305	918	216
Refunds (Current and Prior Year)			918	
Due Districts				
as of Completion of Audit	\$ 22	\$ 2,041	\$ 4,647	\$ 11
		**	***	

^{*, **,} and *** See next page.

BELL COUNTY BRUCE BENNETT, FORMER SHERIFF SHERIFF'S SETTLEMENT - 2014 TAXES For The Period April 16, 2014 Through December 31, 2014 (Continued)

* Commissions:

4.25% on \$ 3,020,793 3% on \$ 3,801,229

**	Special	Taxing	Districts:
----	---------	---------------	------------

Library District	\$	1,176
Health District		865
Due Districts		2,041
School Districts:		
Bell County Board of Education	\$	651
Pineville City School		3,971
Middlesboro Independent School		25
Due Districts	_\$	4,647

BELL COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT

December 31, 2014

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

A. Fund Accounting

The Sheriff's office tax collection duties are limited to acting as an agent for assessed property owners and taxing districts. A fund is used to account for the collection and distribution of taxes. A fund is a separate accounting entity with a self-balancing set of accounts. Fund accounting is designed to demonstrate legal compliance and to aid financial management by segregating transactions related to certain government functions or activities.

B. Basis of Accounting

The financial statement has been prepared on a regulatory basis of accounting, which demonstrates compliance with the laws of Kentucky and is a special purpose framework. Basis of accounting refers to when charges, credits, and taxes paid are reported in the settlement statement. It relates to the timing of measurements regardless of the measurement focus.

Charges are sources of revenue which are recognized in the tax period in which they become available and measurable. Credits are reductions of revenue which are recognized when there is proper authorization. Taxes paid are uses of revenue which are recognized when distributions are made to the taxing districts and others.

C. Cash and Investments

KRS 66.480 authorizes the Sheriff's office to invest in the following, including but not limited to, obligations of the United States and of its agencies and instrumentalities, obligations and contracts for future delivery or purchase of obligations backed by the full faith and credit of the United States, obligations of any corporation of the United States government, bonds or certificates of indebtedness of this state, and certificates of deposit issued by or other interest-bearing accounts of any bank or savings and loan institution which are insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) or which are collateralized, to the extent uninsured, by any obligation permitted by KRS 41.240(4).

Note 2. Deposits

The former Bell County Sheriff maintained deposits of public funds with depository institutions insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) as required by KRS 66.480(1)(d). According to KRS 41.240(4), the depository institution should pledge or provide sufficient collateral which, together with FDIC insurance, equals or exceeds the amount of public funds on deposit at all times. In order to be valid against the FDIC in the event of failure or insolvency of the depository institution, this pledge or provision of collateral should be evidenced by an agreement between the Sheriff and the depository institution, signed by both parties, that is (a) in writing, (b) approved by the board of directors of the depository institution or its loan committee, which approval must be reflected in the minutes of the board or committee, and (c) an official record of the depository institution.

BELL COUNTY NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENT December 31, 2014 (Continued)

Note 2. Deposits (Continued)

Custodial Credit Risk - Deposits

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a depository institution failure, the Sheriff's deposits may not be returned. The former Sheriff did not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk but rather follows the requirements of KRS 41.240(4). As of December 31, 2014, all deposits were covered by FDIC insurance or a properly executed collateral security agreement. However, as of December 8, 2014, public funds were exposed to custodial credit risk because the bank did not adequately collateralize the Sheriff's deposits in accordance with the security agreement.

• Uncollateralized and Uninsured \$28,798

Note 3. Tax Collection Period

The real and personal property tax assessments were levied as of January 1, 2014. Property taxes were billed to finance governmental services for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015. Liens are effective when the tax bills become delinquent. The collection period for these assessments was October 24, 2014 through December 31, 2014.

Note 4. Interest Income

A. Tax Account

The former Bell County Sheriff earned \$7,292 as interest income on 2014 taxes. As of December 21, 2015, the former Sheriff owed \$502 in interest to the Bell County Board of Education, \$52 in interest to Middlesboro Independent School, and \$788 in interest to his fee account.

B. Franchise Account

The former Bell County Sheriff earned \$1,754 as interest income on 2014 franchise taxes. As of December 21, 2015, the former Sheriff owed \$456 in interest to the Bell County Board of Education, \$73 in interest to Pineville City School, \$419 in interest to Middlesboro Independent School, and \$806 in interest to his fee account.



REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF THE FINANCIAL STATEMENT PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS



MIKE HARMON AUDITOR OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS

The Honorable Albey Brock, Bell County Judge/Executive Honorable Bruce Bennett, Former Bell County Sheriff Honorable Mitchell Williams, Bell County Sheriff Members of the Bell County Fiscal Court

> Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards

Independent Auditor's Report

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the former Bell County Sheriff's Settlement - 2014 Taxes for the period April 16, 2014 through December 31, 2014 - Regulatory Basis and the related notes to the financial statement and have issued our report thereon dated December 21, 2015. The former County Sheriff's financial statement is prepared on a regulatory basis of accounting, which demonstrates compliance with the laws of Kentucky and is a special purpose framework.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statement, we considered the former Bell County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on the financial statement, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the former Bell County Sheriff's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the former Bell County Sheriff's internal control over financial reporting.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the preceding paragraph and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified. However, as described in the accompanying comment and recommendation, we identified a certain deficiency in internal control that we consider to be a material weakness.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statement will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. We consider the deficiency described in the accompanying comment and recommendation as item 2014-001 to be a material weakness.



WWW.AUDITOR.KY.GOV

Report On Internal Control Over Financial Reporting And On Compliance And Other Matters Based On An Audit Of The Financial Statement Performed In Accordance With Government Auditing Standards (Continued)

Compliance And Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the former Bell County Sheriff's financial statement is free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Sheriff's Response to Finding

The former Bell County Sheriff's response to the finding identified in our audit is described in the accompanying comment and recommendation. The former Sheriff's response was not subjected to the auditing procedures applied in the audit of the financial statement and, accordingly, we express no opinion on it.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

Respectfully submitted,

Mike Harmon

Auditor of Public Accounts

December 21, 2015



BELL COUNTY BRUCE BENNETT, FORMER SHERIFF COMMENT AND RECOMMENDATION

For The Period April 16, 2014 Through December 31, 2014

INTERNAL CONTROL - MATERIAL WEAKNESS:

2014-001 The Former Sheriff's Office Did Not Have Adequate Segregation Of Duties

While reviewing the former Sheriff's internal control procedures, we identified a lack of adequate segregation of duties over receipts and disbursements. During our assessment of the former Sheriff's internal control structure, we noted one employee's duties included accepting tax payments, recording taxes paid, preparing monthly tax reports, and reconciling the bank account with limited activities. In order to achieve a proper segregation of duties, related activities should have been assigned to different individuals or compensating controls should have been implemented to offset the lack of adequate segregation of duties.

The lack of segregation of duties occurred because the former Sheriff failed to segregate incompatible duties or implement oversight duties when duties cannot be segregated. Lack of segregation of duties increased the risk of misappropriation of assets, errors, and inaccurate financial reporting. Adequate segregation of duties over receipts and disbursements would have prevented the same person from having a significant role in these incompatible functions.

The former Sheriff could have separated duties in collecting taxes, recording taxes paid, preparing monthly reports, and preparing reconciliations. If these duties could not be segregated due to a limited staff or budget, then strong oversight should have been provided over the employee responsible for these duties. The former Sheriff or employee providing the oversight should have documented this oversight.

Former Sheriff's Response: I made every effort to correct this, but the lack of personnel made this impossible.